

MASSIVE TRIADIC CHERN-SIMONS SPIN-3 THEORY*

C. Aragone

Departamento de Física, Universidad Simón Bolívar,
Apartado 8900, Caracas 1080A, Venezuela

and

A. Khoudeir

Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de los Andes,
Mérida, 5101, Venezuela**ABSTRACT**

We introduce the massive gauge invariant, second order pure spin-3 theory in three dimensions. It consists of the addition of the second order gauge invariant massless pure spin-3 action with the first order topological(generalized) Chern-Simons spin-3 term corrected with lower spin auxiliary actions which avoid lower spin ghosts propagation. This second order intermediate action completes the catalogue of massive spin-3 actions having topological structure. We also consider its spontaneous break down through the addition of the inertial spin-3 nontopological Fierz-Pauli mass term. It is shown that this non gauge invariant pure spin-3 system is the uniform generalization of linearized massive vector Chern-Simons gravity and propagates just two spin $3^\pm$ excitations having different masses.

1. Introduction

Recently an alternative curved topological gravitational theory in three dimension was found and discussed in detail¹. Although massive vector Chern-Simons gravity(VCSG) propagates one massive spin-2 excitation, like topological massive

*Talk given at the VIII Latin American Symposium on Relativity and Gravitation, SILARG, Sao Paulo, July 1993.

gravity(TM G)² substantial differences between them occur. TM G is described by a third order local Lorentz and diffeomorphism invariant action whereas VCSG is a second order diffeomorphism invariant theory where locaSNS-HEP-1 Lorentz invariance has been lost. In addition, TM G can not be broken down neither by the presence of a triadic Chern-Simons term nor by a Fierz-Pauli(FP) mass term while VCSG admits a symmetry breaking when the FP mass term is present, giving rise to a double spin-2 system having two different masses³(similarly to what happens to the Maxwell-CS system when the Proca mass term is considered⁴). It is worth recalling that the dreibein e_{ma} is the most natural object to describe spin-2, its gauge(linearized) transformation law is given by $\delta_\lambda h_{pa} = \partial_p \lambda_a$. Self-dual massive gravity^{5 6} completes the catalogue of spin-2 massive excitations in three dimensions. It is a first order theory on flat Minkowski space having no gauge invariance.

For spin-3 it is known both the topological third order theory⁷ and the self-dual first order action⁸. The former is gauge and local Lorentz invariant while the latter does not have any local symmetry left. According to what we have learnt from the spin-2 case, we will show that a gauge invariant second-order intermediate action propagating a pure spin-3⁺(or spin-3⁻) excitation indeed exists. It is the uniform generalization of VCSG having the novelty of making unavoidable the presence of auxiliary lower spin fields.

2. Triadic Chern-Simons spin-3 action

The action has the form

$$\begin{aligned} I = & \frac{1}{2} \langle h_{m\bar{a}\bar{b}} G_{m\bar{a}\bar{b}} \rangle - \frac{\mu}{2} \langle h_{m\bar{a}\bar{b}} \epsilon^{mnp} \partial_n h_{p\bar{a}\bar{b}} \rangle \\ & + \mu \langle v_q \epsilon^{mnp} \partial_p h_{m\bar{n}\bar{q}} \rangle + \frac{\alpha}{2} \mu \langle v_p \epsilon^{pmn} \partial_m v_n \rangle + \frac{\beta}{2} \mu^2 \langle v_p^2 \rangle \\ & + \mu \langle \phi(\partial.v) \rangle + \frac{\gamma}{2} \langle \phi \square \phi \rangle + \frac{\delta}{2} \mu^2 \langle \phi^2 \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

wherein $h_{m\bar{a}\bar{b}}$ is the basic spin-3 carrier written in the triadic representation⁹¹⁰, symmetric and traceless in the barred Lorentz-like indices. The core of the action consists of the usual massless spin-3 second order action($\sim hG$) enlarged with a typical triadic Chern-Simons first order action for spin-3($\sim h\epsilon\partial h$) which will provide mass μ . It is invariant under the natural abelian gauge transformations $\delta_\lambda h_{p\bar{a}\bar{b}} = \partial_p \lambda_{\bar{a}\bar{b}}$ (similarly to massive vectorial Chern-Simons linearized gravity). The remaining terms contain lower spin contributions stemming in the auxiliary fields v_p , ϕ and a gauge invariant coupling term linking the vector with the basic spin-3 field. As is well known¹¹, in $d \geq 4$, massive higher spin fields require the presence of them to make sure the non-propagation of undesirable lower spin ghosts. The basic triadic spin-3 field $h_{m\bar{a}\bar{b}}$ can be decomp

$$h_{m\bar{a}\bar{b}} = h_{\bar{m}\bar{a}\bar{b}} + \epsilon_{pac} h_{\bar{c}\bar{b}} + \epsilon_{pbc} h_{\bar{c}\bar{a}} + \frac{3}{10} [\eta_{pa} h_b + \eta_{pb} h_a - \frac{2}{3} \eta_{ab} h_p]. \quad (2)$$

The 15 independent components of $h_{m\bar{a}\bar{b}}$, are represented by the 7 components of $h_{\bar{m}\bar{a}\bar{b}}$ plus the 5 needed to describe $h_{\bar{b}\bar{c}}$ plus the last 3 which determine $h_p = h_{m\bar{m}\bar{p}}$, the unique non-vanishing trace of $h_{m\bar{a}\bar{b}}$.

Independent variations of $h_{m\bar{a}\bar{b}}$, v_p , ϕ lead to the field equations

$$E_{m\bar{a}\bar{b}} \equiv G_{m\bar{a}\bar{b}} - \mu\epsilon^{mnp}\partial_n h_{p\bar{a}\bar{b}} + \frac{\mu}{2}[\epsilon^{pna}\partial_n v_b + \epsilon^{pnb}\partial_n v_a - \frac{2}{3}\eta_{ab}\epsilon^{pmn}\partial_m v_n] = 0, \quad (3)$$

$$F^p = \alpha\mu\epsilon^{pmn}\partial_m v_n + \beta\mu^2 v_p + \mu\epsilon^{qmn}\partial_q h_{m\bar{n}\bar{p}} - \mu\partial_p \phi = 0, \quad (4)$$

and

$$G \equiv \mu(\partial \cdot v) + \gamma\Box\phi + \delta\mu^2\phi = 0. \quad (5)$$

In order to see their dynamical content we perform a covariant analysis using the harmonic gauge defined in this case by

$$\partial_m(h_{m\bar{a}\bar{b}} + h_{a\bar{m}\bar{b}} + h_{b\bar{a}\bar{m}}) - (\partial_a h_b + \partial_b h_a) = 0. \quad (6)$$

Taking into account Eq. 2, this gauge fixing condition implies that

$$\partial_m h_{m\bar{a}\bar{b}} = \frac{1}{5}[\partial_a h_b + \partial_b h_a - \frac{2}{3}\eta_{ab}\partial_m h_m], \quad (7)$$

and the Eq 3 becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \Box[h_{m\bar{a}\bar{b}} + h_{a\bar{m}\bar{b}} + h_{b\bar{a}\bar{m}} - \eta_{ma}h_b - \eta_{mb}h_a] &= \mu\epsilon^{mnp}\partial_n h_{p\bar{a}\bar{b}} \\ + \frac{\mu}{2}[\epsilon^{pna}\partial_n v_b + \epsilon^{pnb}\partial_n v_a - \frac{2}{3}\eta_{ab}\epsilon^{pmn}\partial_m v_n] &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

It is easy to check that none of the spin-2 variables have any dynamical behaviour. They do not propagate. Now, let us go to the spin-1 sector. The variables are $\rho_m h_{mn}^T$, h_p^T and v_p^T ($\rho_p \equiv \frac{\partial_m}{\rho}$, $\rho \equiv (\Box)^{\frac{1}{2}}$). The spin-1 dynamical behaviour is determined by the equations $E_p \equiv E_{m\bar{m}\bar{p}} = 0$, $\epsilon^{mac}\partial_c E_{m\bar{a}\bar{b}} = 0$ and $F^p = 0$. In order not to have any spin-1 excitation alive we must choose

$$\alpha = -\frac{27}{6}, \quad \beta = -\frac{16}{3}, \quad (9)$$

in order to make the spin-1 inverse propagator a non-vanishing number.

Unfortunately this is not the last step in order to get a pure spin-3 propagation. There are still three scalar ghosts ($\partial_{pn}h_{p\bar{n}}$, $\partial_p h_p$, $\partial_p v_p$) that might propagate. This is the reason why we have to introduce the auxiliary scalar field ϕ . The key equations for the scalar sector are $\partial_p E_p = 0$, $\epsilon^{mac}\partial_{cb}E_{m\bar{a}\bar{b}} = 0$, $\partial_p F^p = 0$ and $G = 0$. One finds that

$$\gamma = 0, \quad \delta = -\frac{1}{6}, \quad (10)$$

entails the non-propagation of the whole scalar sector. Consequently, the dynamics is contained in the symmetric, traceless, transverse part of the triadic spin-3 field: $h_{\bar{m}\bar{n}\bar{p}}^T$. Its two independent components can be split into parity sensitive parts according to

$$h_{\bar{m}\bar{n}\bar{p}}^T = h_{\bar{m}\bar{n}\bar{p}}^{T+} + h_{\bar{m}\bar{n}\bar{p}}^{T-}, \quad (11)$$

with

$$h_{\bar{m}\bar{n}\bar{p}}^{T\pm} \equiv \frac{1}{2}h_{\bar{m}\bar{n}\bar{p}}^T \pm \frac{1}{6}(\epsilon_{rsm}\rho_r h_{\bar{s}\bar{n}\bar{p}}^T + \epsilon_{rsn}\rho_r h_{\bar{s}\bar{m}\bar{p}}^T + \epsilon_{rsp}\rho_r h_{\bar{s}\bar{m}\bar{n}}^T). \quad (12)$$

Analysing the field equation (3), we find that $h_{\bar{m}\bar{n}\bar{p}}^{T-} = 0$ for $\mu > 0$. An iterative process yield

$$(\square - \frac{\mu^2}{9})h_{\bar{m}\bar{n}\bar{p}}^{T+} = 0, \quad (13)$$

i.e. our action describes a single pure massive spin-3⁺ excitation.

3. Spontaneous break-down of translational gauge invariance

We want to analyse the possibility of breaking down the (translational)local gauge invariance. We introduce a Fierz-Pauli spin-3 mass term $\sim m^2 h h$ and consider the following action

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{1}{2} \langle h_{\bar{m}\bar{a}\bar{b}} G_{\bar{m}\bar{a}\bar{b}} \rangle + \frac{\mu}{2} \langle h_{\bar{m}\bar{a}\bar{b}} \epsilon^{mnp} \partial_n h_{\bar{p}\bar{a}\bar{b}} \rangle \\ &- \frac{1}{6} m^2 \langle \epsilon^{pmn} \epsilon^{abc} \eta_{pc} h_{\bar{m}\bar{b}\bar{d}} h_{\bar{n}\bar{c}\bar{d}} \rangle + \mu^2 \langle h_p v_p \rangle \\ &+ \frac{\mu}{2} \alpha \langle v_p \epsilon^{pmn} \partial_m v_n \rangle + \frac{\mu^2}{2} \beta \langle v_p^2 \rangle \\ &+ \mu \langle \phi \partial_p v_p \rangle + \frac{\mu^2}{2} \delta \langle \phi^2 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \gamma \langle \phi \square \phi \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where we have taken for simplicity an algebraic(non-gauge invariant) coupling term $\sim \mu^2 h.v$ instead of the (gauge invariant) differential one we introduced in the initial action.

It is straightforward to prove, performing a covariant analysis that

$$\alpha = -18(\frac{\mu}{m})^4 = (\frac{\mu}{m})^2 \beta, \quad \gamma = 0, \quad \delta = \frac{m^4}{24(\mu^4 + \mu^2 m^2)} \quad (15)$$

induce the vanishing of all lower spin and our system only propagates two spin-3 excitations represented by the traceless, transverse, parity sensitive parts of $h_{\bar{m}\bar{a}\bar{b}}^T$ defined in Eq. 11. Their evolution equations are found to be

$$\begin{aligned} \square h_{\bar{m}\bar{a}\bar{b}}^{T+} + \frac{1}{3} \mu (\square)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{\bar{m}\bar{a}\bar{b}}^{T+} - \frac{1}{9} m^2 h_{\bar{m}\bar{a}\bar{b}}^{T+} &= 0, \\ \square h_{\bar{m}\bar{a}\bar{b}}^{T-} - \frac{1}{3} \mu (\square)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{\bar{m}\bar{a}\bar{b}}^{T-} - \frac{1}{9} m^2 h_{\bar{m}\bar{a}\bar{b}}^{T-} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

giving rise to two different masses

$$m_{\pm} = \frac{\mu}{6}[(1 + 18\frac{m^2}{\mu^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \mp 1]. \quad (17)$$

corresponding to the two spin-3 $^{\pm}$ excitations that action (14) propagates.

4. Acknowledgements

One of the authors (A.K) would like to thank to the Consejo de Desarrollo Científico y Humanístico de la Universidad de los Andes(CDCHT-ULA) by institutional support under project C-521-91.

5. References

1. C. Aragone, P. J. Arias and A. Khoudeir, *preprint SB/F/92-192, hep-th/9307003*.
2. S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, *Ann. of Phys.* **140** (1982) 372,(E) **185** (1988).
3. C. Aragone, P. J. Arias and A. Khoudeir, *SB/F-93-202*
4. C. Aragone and P. J. Arias, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A5** (1990) 1651.
5. C. Aragone and A. Khoudeir, *Phys.Lett.* **B173** (1986) 141.
6. C. Aragone and A. Khoudeir, in *Quantum Mechanics of Fundamental Systems 1*, ed. C. Teitelboim (Plenum Press, New York, 1988) p. 17.
7. T. Damour and S. Deser, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **47** (1987) 277.
8. C. Aragone and A. Khoudeir, *Revista Mexicana de Física* **6** (1993).
9. M. A. Vasiliev, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **32** (1980) 439.
10. C. Aragone and H. La Roche, *Nuovo Cimento* **A72** (1982) 149.
11. C. Aragone, S. Deser and Z. Yang, *Ann. of Phys.* **179** (1987) 76.